

U.S. District Court  
For the Northern District of California

Rev. Ryan "Sasha" Gallagher  
1723 Candleglow St  
Castle Rock, Co 80108

FILED #10

SEP 24 2018

SUSAN Y. SOONG  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

v.

Bitcointalk.org & Martti Malmi  
Antinkatu 1, 00100  
Helsinki, Finland

CV 18 5892 JSC

The Bitcoin Foundation

Ferrybuilding, one, Sanfransisco Bay Trail, suite 255  
San Fransisco, CA, 94111

Complaint in Forma Pauperis

Much of this complaint goes with what has been alledged in the Federal Court in Denver, Case # 1:2018cv02029, that case contains most of the supporting facts and evidence. The differing facts in this case are that I am a cryptocurrency developer, and the Defendants in this case are operating an Illegal Monopoly.

I have been a member of the website Bitcointalk.org since 2011 or 2012 when Bitcoin was \$5 each.

Martti Malmi, user name Sirius on Bitcointalk.org, owns the website Bitcointalk.org and claims to have developed Bitcoin alongside the unknown developer who uses the pseudonym "Satoshi Nakamoto". Martti Malmi is recording as the 2<sup>nd</sup> developer to touch the Bitcoin code on Github, where coins are hosted.

Coins are hosted on Github.com and announced with an [ANN] Announcement thread on Bitcointalk.org. As laid out in case #1:2018cv02029 I was going to be creating cryptocurrency tokens. The Defendants, together, used Martti Malmi's website, Bitcointalk.org, to deny me and ability to compete by banning me, and slandering my name, even though I had 0 negative points in their reputation system.

The defendants are Monopolizing the Cryptocurrency Technology on their website. The Bitcoin Foundation emerged in 2012 to rehab the image of Bitcoin away from the Silk Road, and that is their motive.

Florida v. Espinoza, F14-2923 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir 2016)

MM Steele v. Reliance Steel, No. 4:2012cv01227 (SD Tex 2014)

"2 Competitors Conspiring Against Competitors"

Tunica Web Advertising v. Tunica Casino Operators, 496 F.3d 403 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir 2007)

-Section 1 of the Sherman Act

Spectators Comm. Network v. Colonial Country Club, 253 F.3d 215 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir 2001)

"Engaged in Conspiracy;

That restrained Trade;

In a particular Market"

NW Wholesalers Stationers v. Pac Stationary, 472 US 284 (1985)

"Disadvantage competitors by directly denying...

relationships the competitor needs in the competitive struggle"

These Bitcoiners should not have any say on anyone's altcoins

I have been banned from Bitcointalk.org as:

FinShaggy - Banning me destroy my project for creating Crypto Towns

Immakingacoin - Banning me destroyed my coins, TempleCoin & Shaligram

I am currently on the site as "Tokenista" but they would ban me if they realized who I am.

My coins are Religious, and this is a Religious Ban.

The Bitcoin Foundation should have no say over altcoins.

I request an injunction from the court, declaratory relief, as well as Damages both actual and Punitive, in the amount of \$5,000,000.00

**IMPORTANT:**

Also See In Re: Gallagher, 18-10407

  
Rev. Ryan "Sasha" Gallagher

I am an indigent refugee, please mail me any forms I need. Rule 83(a)(2)

Jurisdiction -

Title 28 USC § 2201

Title 28 USC § 1337

Title 28 Chapter 155

Please draw all documents from Case # 1:2018cv02029 to this case with Mittimus Writ.

Request for Writ of  
Quo Warrantum

I request this court to issue a  
writ of Quo Warrantum to identify under  
what Authority Martti Malmi's website  
Bitcointalk.org operates.



Rev. Ryan "Sasha" Gallagher